CTRS scheme qu	<u>estionnaire</u>			1				- (-)
	Current CTRS	2019/20 (current scheme)		Are you	If yes, will it be	ı	202 I	0/21 I
Authority	scheme - minimum contribution or banded	Minimum claimant contribution	Annual expenditure under current scheme	planning to change your scheme in 2020/21	minimum contribution or banded discount?	Minimum claimant contribution	Is the change delivering savings? If so how much?	Any other comments
Barking								
Barnet								
Bexley	Banded discount, with minimum contribution	20%	£12.2M	No				No plans to amend scheme for 2020 at this stage, however still early days of this scheme so will be kept under review (banded scheme only introduced from April 2019). Our new banded scheme is proving very slightly more expensive than the previous 20% minimum contribution. We don't appear to be getting any complaints about cliff edges or similar so far, although it's probably a bit too soon to judge whether it's helping in terms of overall collection. The rationale for introducing a banded scheme was the impact of Universal Credit on managing predictable payment plans for those affected.
		20% unless "vulnerable" (mainly if receiving disability benefits -					Change to be cost-neutral to	
	Minimum	in which case up to 100%				No minimum	2019/20	
Brent	contribution	rebate)	£27.2M	Yes	Banded discount	contribution	expenditure	Scheme will be banded discounts with non-dep deductions
Bromley		,						
Camden City of London	Taper scheme no minimum contribution	No Minimum contribution	£26m	Yes	Banded discount based on London Living Wage		Not from CTS expenditure	£500k from staff savings
Croydon								
Ealing								
Enfield								
Greenwich								
Hackney Hammersmith	Old Default	No	£11.5m	No				Considering shanging following year possible handing
Haringey	Old Delault	NO	111.5111	NO				Considering changing following year possible banding
Наггоw	Minimum contribution	30% unless "vulnerable" (mainly if receiving disability benefits - in which case up to 86% rebate)	£14m	Yes	Banded discount	30% unless "vulnerable" (mainly if receiving disability benefits - in which case up to 86% rebate)	No	
Havering								
Hillingdon Hounslow								
Islington	Minimum contribution	8% for all working age claimants	£26.1M	Yes	Not yet decided			We are looking at both options of minimum and banding, looking at cost effective.
	Old default	NO	11M	No				
Kensington	scheme							
Kingston Lambeth	-			No				
Lewisham	Minimum contribution	25%	£18m	No indication			Not envisaged	all working age are liable for 25%, no exceptions or enhancements. No hardship fund but those in difficulty can apply for write off (S13a).
Merton Newham								
Redbridge								
Richmond	Default	Minimum contribution removed from 19/20		No indication			No indication	
Southwark	Minimum contribution	15%	Circa £20m	No				Hardship payments available as now
Sutton								
Tower Hamlets Waltham Forest	-					 	 	
Wandsworth	Minimum contribution	Virtually, a minimum contribution of 30%, but we prefer to do a Band D comparison as due to the low CT out 30% is nearer 15 - 20% in other boroughs.		No fundamental changes			No	WBC also have a similar vulnerability scheme which means disabled household still receive 100% CTR. We made a tweak so there is one level of non-dep deduction but generally the default scheme.
Westminster	contribution	other borougils.		changes				
		1				·	·	I .